

Client Movement Screening (FMS & LESS)

Introduction

We require dynamic stability-based tests in our client screening protocols because understanding movement competency is key in prescribing safe and effective exercise, as well as minimising injury risk.

Functional Movement Screening (FMS)

Functional Movement Screening (FMS) has for many years been used to establish a subject's movement potential. It highlights areas that the subject is strong at as well as areas that the subject should strengthen in order to improve. For this reason, it is considered a potentially important tool that can inform exercise prescription.

FMS protocol

- FMS screening requires a subject to complete 7 movement-based tests
- During each movement, the subject's skill and control should be assessed and graded according to the criteria listed in the following slides
- Subjects may be videoed from the front and side views
- A video of the FMS tests can be found <u>here</u>

(https://youtu.be/nhwSDD0s3ko)

The 7 FMS screening tests

FMS test	Purpose	Required physical capacities	Common dysfunctions
Deep squat	Global mobility and stability in fundamental movement pattern	Talo-crural joint mobility Knee stability Hip mobility Lumbo-pelvic control Thoracic mobility Gleno-humeral joint stability	Tibial external rotation Knee valgus Forward trunk lean Pelvic tucking Arms fall forward
Hurdle test	To identify unilateral asymmetries and deemed an important part of locomotion and acceleration	Ankle stability Knee stability Hip mobility Lumbo-pelvic control	Loss of balance Hip hike/drop Lateral flexion of the spine

The 7 screening tests

FMS test	Purpose	Required physical capacities	Common dysfunctions
Inline lunge	Challenges the mobility and stability of the ankle, knee and hip joints	Talo-crural joint mobility Knee stability Hip mobility	Feet flatten Knee valgus Increased hip flexion
Shoulder mobility	Assesses mobility around scapular-thoracic region in an upper body reciprocal movement pattern	Thoracic extension Shoulder adduction/ abduction Shoulder flexion/ extension Shoulder internal/ external rotation	Reduced capacity to meet fists to one another (product of reduced mobility in one or more physical capacities

The 7 screening tests

FMS test	Purpose	Required physical capacities	Common dysfunctions
Active straight leg raise	Assesses hip flexion and knee extension capacities in an unloaded environment	Hip mobility Lumbo-pelvic stability	Reduced hip flexion range of motion Excessive lumbar extension Femoral external rotation (resting leg) Knee flexion (resting leg)
Trunk stability	View the client's ability to stabilise the trunk region	Gleno-humeral joint stability Lumbo-pelvic stability	Increased lumbar extension Torso rotation Lumbo-pelvic rotation

The 7 screening tests

FMS test	Purpose	Required physical capacities	Common dysfunctions
Rotary stability	Observes multi-planar movement patterns in the pelvis, trunk and scauplar- thoracic region	Gleno-humeral joint stability Lumbo-pelvic control Hip mobility	Weight shifting Increased lumbar extension Reduced shoulder flexion

Scoring

Each test is graded through a 3 point scoring system. If a test is performed correctly, a score of 3 is given. A 2 is scored if there are compensations in the client's movement. A 1 is scored if the client is unable to perform the test. A zero is scored if the client feels pain when performing the test.

The score of each individual test is more important than the sum score of all 7 tests.

Limitations of FMS

FMS does not predict acceleration, power or agility (lower body strength or power tests better for this). Additionally, further screening should be incorporated in order to fully understand a client's physical capacities.

FMS does not necessarily predict injury risk.

The modified Landing Error Scoring System (LESS)

The LESS is a clinical screening tool that aim's to assess an individual's risk of suffering a non-contact ACL injury through the evaluation of landing mechanics from a drop vertical jump. It is a good predictor of injury risk and has also been shown to 'show up' previous ACL injury.

The full test uses 2 video cameras (sagittal and frontal plane views) to analyse potentially high risk movement patterns that have been used as predictors of future ACL risk.

The modified test uses a subjective assessment of the client's landing mechanics in order to make the original test less time-consuming.

LESS protocol

- Subject to drop-jump off a 30cm height box
- Subject lands with heels on a line that is half of the subjects height from the foot of the 30cm box
- Subject then jumps vertically into the air for maximal height
- 3d motion analysis (or slow motion camera) is used to assess the kinematics of the movement
- A video of the LESS test can be viewed <u>here</u> (https://youtu.be/RjvcJtBtPYc)
- NB, a single leg landing can also be used where the subject has the appropriate strength for such a landing from a 30cm box

The Landing Error Score Sheet

Observing from front	Observing from side
 Stance width Normal (o) Wide (1) Narrow (1) 	 Initial landing of feet Toe to heel (0) Heel to toe (1) Flat feet (1)
 Maximum foot rotation position Normal (0) Moderately externally rotated (1) Slightly internally rotated (1) 	 Amount of knee flexion displacement Large (0) Average (1) Small (2)
Initial foot contactSymmetric (0)Not symmetric (1)	 Amount of trunk flexion displacement Large (0) Average (1) Small (2)

The Landing Error Score Sheet cont.

Observing from front	Observing from side
 Maximum knee valgus angle None (0) Small (1) Large (2) 	 Total joint displacement in the sagittal plane Soft (0) Average (1) Stiff (2)
Amount of trunk lateral flexion • None (0) • Small (1) • Large (2) Total =	 Overall impression Excellent (0) Average (1) Poor (2)

Operational definitions for the LESS

LESS criteria	Operational definition	Assessor view
Stance width	Abnormally wide or narrow stance during landing, they receive an error (1)	Front
Foot-rotation position	Moderate amount of external rotation or internal rotation, they receive an error (1)	Front
Initial foot contact symmetry	If 1 foot lands before the other or there is alternating heel-to-toe/toe-to-heel landing mechanics, they receive and error (1)	Front
Knee valgus	Small amount of knee valgus (1) Large amount of knee valgus (2)	Front
Lateral trunk flexion	If trunk is not perfectly vertical in frontal plane, they receive an error (1)	Front

Operational definitions for the LESS

LESS criteria	Operational definition	Assessor view
Initial landing of feet	If subject lands heel-to-toe or flat footed they receive an error (1)	Side
Amount of knee flexion	Small amount of knee flexion displacement (1) Average amount of knee flexion displacement (2)	Side
Amount of trunk flexion	Small amount of trunk flexion displacement (1) Average amount of trunk flexion displacement (2)	Side
Total joint displacement in sagittal plane	Large displacement of trunk and knees = 'soft' (0) Average displacement of trunk and knees = 'average' (1) Small displacement of trunk and knees = 'stiff' (2)	Side
Overall impression	Soft landing with no frontal plane motion at the knee = 'excellent' (0) Stiff landing with large frontal plane motion at the knee = 'poor' (2) All other criteria = 'average' (1)	N/A

Scoring

The modified LESS is graded on a 10 point grading criteria. (maximum score is 16)

A score of 4 or less = excellent

A score of more than 6 = poor

Limitations of LESS

LESS only analyses bilateral movement – yet injuries occur in a multitude of ways (cutting, side-stepping, reacting etc).

References

'Assessing movement through a variety of screening tests'. Chris Bishop, Paul Read, Scott Walker, Anthony Turner (UKSCA publication, 2015)